arXiv:1403.2271v2 [hep-ph] 28 May 2014

Ψ and Υ Production In pp Collisions at 8.0 TeV

Leonard S. Kisslinger[1)]
Department of Physics, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh PA 15213 USA.
Debasish Das[2,3)]
Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics,1/AF, Bidhan Nagar, Kolkata 700064, INDIA.

Abstract

This is an extension of recent studies for $\Upsilon(nS), n = 1, 2, 3$, and $J/\Psi(1S), \Psi(2S)$ production at the LHC in pp collisions, and with the ALICE detector at 7.0 TeV, with new predictions at 8.0 TeV

1) kissling@andrew.cmu.edu 2)dev.deba@gmail.com; 3) debasish.das@saha.ac.in

Keywords: Heavy quark hybrid, LHC ALICE detector; rapidity cross-sections.

PACS Indices:12.38.Aw,13.60.Le,14.40.Lb,14.40Nd

1 Differential rapidity cross sections for heavy quark state production at ALICE

This brief report is a continuation of our work on $\Upsilon(nS)$ production which was published recently[1, 2]. It is in anticipation of the publication of new ALICE experimental results[3] on $J/\Psi(1S), \Psi(2S)$, and $\Upsilon(nS)$ production in the rapidity range $2.5 \leq y \leq 4.0$.

The differential rapidity cross section for $\lambda = 0$ (dominant for $\Upsilon(nS), \Psi(nS)$ production) is given by [4]

$$\frac{d\sigma_{pp\to\Phi(\lambda=0)}}{dy} = A_\Phi \frac{1}{x(y)} f_g(x(y), 2m) f_g(a/x(y), 2m) \frac{dx}{dy} , \quad (1)$$

with $a = 4m^2/s$, $s = E^2$, $E = 8.0$ TeV, $m = 5.0$ GeV for Upsilon and 1.5 GeV for Charmonium states, f_g the gluonic distribution function, and $x(y), \frac{dx}{dy}$ given in Refs[4],[1]. For Upsilon, Charmonium $a = 1.56 \times 10^{-6}, 1.38 \times 10^{-7}$. Φ in Eq(1) is either Ψ or Υ, with $A_\Upsilon = 1.33 \times 10^{-8}$ and $A_\Psi = 4.95 \times 10^{-7}$.

The gluonic distribution $f_g(x(y), 2m)$ for the range of x needed for $E = 8.0$ TeV is[4]

$$f_g(x(y)) = 275.14 - 6167.6 * x + 36871.3 * x^2 . \quad (2)$$

Using the method of QCD sum rules it was shown[5] that the $\Psi'(2S)$ and $\Upsilon(3S)$ are 50%-50% mixtures (with approximately a 10% uncertainty) of standard quarkonium and hybrid quarkonium states:

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi(2S)> &= -0.7|c\bar{c}(2S)> + \sqrt{1-0.5}|c\bar{c}g(2S)> \\ |\Upsilon(3S)> &= -0.7|b\bar{b}(3S)> + \sqrt{1-0.5}|b\bar{b}g(3S)> , \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

while the $J/\Psi, \Upsilon(1S), \Upsilon(2S)$ states are essentially standard $q\bar{q}$ states.

The calculation of the production of $\Upsilon(3S)$ and $\Psi(2S)$ states is done with the usual quark-antiquark model, and the mixed heavy hybrid theory[5]. We find the differential rapidity cross sections for $\Upsilon(1S)$, $\Upsilon(2S)$, and $\Upsilon(3S)$ production shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1: $d\sigma/dy$ for pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8.0$ TeV for producing $\Upsilon(1S)$, $\Upsilon(2S)$; and $\Upsilon(3S)$ for the standard model (dashed curve) and the mixed hybrid theory.

The differential rapidity cross sections for $J/\Psi(1S)$ and $\Psi(2S)$ production for the standard model and the mixed hybrid theory are shown in Figure 2.



Figure 2: dσ/dy for pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8.0$ TeV producing $J/\Psi(1S)$; and $\Psi(2S)$ for the standard model (dashed curve) and the mixed hybrid theory.

2 Ratios of $\Upsilon(2S), \Upsilon(3S)$ to $\Upsilon(1S)$ and $\Psi(2S)$ to $J/\Psi(1S)$ cross sections

An essential test of our mixed heavy hybrid theory for heavy quark production are the ratios of cross section for the production of heavy quark states.

As discussed in earlier publications[1, 4] the estimated $\Upsilon(2S), \Upsilon(3S)$ to $\Upsilon(1S)$ ratios are

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon(2S)/\Upsilon(1S)|_{standard} &\simeq \Upsilon(2S)/\Upsilon(1S)|_{hybrid} \simeq 0.27 \\ \Upsilon(3S)/\Upsilon(1S)|_{standard} &\simeq .04 \\ \Upsilon(3S)/\Upsilon(1S)|_{hybrid} &\simeq 0.14 - 0.22 \, . \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

These ratios have been determined in recent LHCb experiments at 8 TeV[6] and 2.76 TeV [7]. These LHCb measurements show that for the $\Upsilon(2S)/\Upsilon(1S)$ ratio the standard model, which is the same in the mixed hybrid theory, is correct, while the experimental $\Upsilon(3S)/\Upsilon(1S)$ ratio is consistent with the mixed hybrid theory whereas the standard model prediction is much too small.

From the standard model and hybrid theory one finds for p-p production of $\Psi(2S)$ and $J/\Psi(1S)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\Psi(2S))/\sigma(J/\Psi(1S))|_{standard} &\simeq 0.27 \\ \sigma(\Psi(2S))/\sigma(J/\Psi(1S))|_{hybrid} &\simeq 0.67 \pm 0.07 \, . \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

See Ref. 8 for the recent PHENIX experimental results at forward and central rapidity for this ratio. Our current predictions will be compared to PHENIX forward rapidity measurements, which are to be released at the Quark Matter 2014 Conference in the near future.

3 Conclusions

We expect that our results for the rapidity dependence of $\mathrm{d}\sigma/\mathrm{dy}$ shown in the figures, and the ratios of cross sections can be useful for experimentalists studying heavy quark production in p-p collisions at the LHC. It is also a test of the validity of the mixed heavy quark hybrid theory, for which the ratios of $\Upsilon(3S)/\Upsilon(1S)$ and $\sigma(\Psi(2S))/\sigma(J/\Psi(1S))$ have been shown to be in agreement within errors with the mixed hybrid theory, but not the standard quark-antiquark model. This is very important since we are using the mixed hybrid heavy quark theory to test the creation of the Quark Gluon Plasma via Relativistic Heavy Ion Collision experiments.

Acknowledgements

Author D.D. acknowledges the facilities of Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India. Author L.S.K. acknowledges support from the P25 group at Los Alamos National laboratory and by a grant from the Pittsburgh Foundation. The authors acknowledge helpful discussions with members of the PHENIX Collab. concerning their results which are not yet published.

References

[1] Leonard S. Kisslinger and Debasish Das, Mod. Phys. Lett A, Vol.28, No.16 1350067 (2013)

[2] Leonard S. Kisslinger and Debasish Das, Mod. Phys. Lett A, Vol.28, No.28 1350120 (2013)

[3] ALICE Collaboration, work in progress to be submitted.

[4] Leonard S. Kisslinger, Ming X. Liu, Patrick McGaughey, Phys. Rev. **D84**, 114020 (2011)

[5] Leonard S. Kisslinger, Phys. Rev. **D 79**, 114026 (2009)

[6] LHCb Collaboration, J. High Energy Phys. **06**, 064 (2013)

[7] LHCb Collaboration, arXiv:1402.2539/hep-ex (2014)

[8] A. Adare et al (PHENIX Collaboration), Phys. Rev. **D 85**, 092004 (2012)